

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,552	06/25/2001	Gerald Lucovsky	5347-208	1878
20792	7590 01/07/2003			
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			WILSON, ALLAN R	
KALLIGII, I	NC 2/02/	•		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
		DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{W}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/891,552	LUCOVSKY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Allan R. Wilson	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,11-26 and 34-46</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 11-23</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24 and 34</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25,26 and 35-46</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/891,552

Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 24 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Aguilar et al. ("Aguilar") from Journal of the European Ceramic Society vol. 20. Aguilar illustrates in figures 1-8, particularly figure 2, (entire document) a non-crystalline oxide represented by the formula (I):

 $(Al_2O_3)_j(M_nO_m)_k$ 

wherein Al is aluminum, O is oxygen, M is the element Y; and j is about 1, k is equal to about 1; n is about 2.0, and m is about 3.0 or the formula is  $(Al_2O_3)_1(Y_2O_3)_1$  or  $Y_2O_3-Al_2O_3$ .

With regards to claim 34, Aguilar discloses on page 1091, col. 2, the system Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can be used for coatings for semiconductor technologies.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,552

Art Unit: 2815

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3 and 11-23 are allowed.

Claims 25, 26 and 35-46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest prior art, Moon or Ma et al. or Aguilar et al., discloses most of the limitations of the claims, but fails to show the formulas disclosed in the claims with  $0 \le x \le 1$ .

### Response to Arguments

Note: Claim 4 was canceled in Election/Amendment A, Paper No. 9, filed 22 July 2002.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24 and 34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The amended formula is the same as the formula given by Aguilar.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/891,552

Art Unit: 2815

Art Unit: 2815

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from an examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Allan Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3490. If the Examiner can not be reached, call Supervisory Patent Examiner Eddie Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-1690. Examiner Wilson can normally be reached 7:30-4:00 Monday-Thursday and 7:30-3:00 every other Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0956. The fax numbers for Group 2800 are (703) 305-3432, 308-7722 and 308-7724.

Allan R. Wilson Primary Examiner 2 January 2003

a. Will

Page 4